

## ON A PROBLEM OF NIRENBERG CONCERNING EXPANDING MAPS IN HILBERT SPACE

JANUSZ SZCZEPAŃSKI

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $\mathbf{H}$  be a Hilbert space and  $f: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  a continuous map which is expanding (i.e.,  $\|f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{y})\| \geq \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$  for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{H}$ ) and such that  $f(\mathbf{H})$  has nonempty interior. Are these conditions sufficient to ensure that  $f$  is onto? This question was stated by Nirenberg in 1974. In this paper we give a partial negative answer to this problem; namely, we present an example of a map  $F: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  which is not onto, continuous,  $F(\mathbf{H})$  has nonempty interior, and for every  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{H}$  there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  (depending on  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ ) such that for every  $n \geq n_0$

$$\|F^n(\mathbf{x}) - F^n(\mathbf{y})\| \geq c^{n-m} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$$

where  $F^n$  is the  $n$ th iterate of the map  $F$ ,  $c$  is a constant greater than 2, and  $m$  is an integer depending on  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ . Our example satisfies  $\|F(\mathbf{x})\| = c\|\mathbf{x}\|$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{H}$ .

We show that no map with the above properties exists in the finite-dimensional case.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1974 Nirenberg [9] stated the following problem:

(P<sub>1</sub>) Let  $\mathbf{H}$  be a Hilbert space and let  $f: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  be a continuous map that is expanding and whose range contains an open set. Does  $f$  map  $\mathbf{H}$  onto  $\mathbf{H}$ ?

This question could be generalized to the case (in this paper called (P<sub>2</sub>)) when the spaces considered are Banach spaces  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}$ .

There are several partial positive answers to (P<sub>1</sub>) and (P<sub>2</sub>) in the following cases:

- (a)  $\mathbf{X}$  is finite dimensional [1, 2],
- (b)  $f = I - C$  where  $C$  is compact or a contraction or more generally a  $k$ -set-contraction [6, 10],
- (c)  $f$  strongly monotone, i.e., there exists  $s > 0$  such that [3, 7]

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{y}), \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle \geq s\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{X}.$$

In [4] Chang and Shujie proved the surjectivity of the map  $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  ( $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}$  Banach spaces) under the additional assumptions that  $\mathbf{Y}$  is reflexive,  $f$  is

---

Received by the editors February 13, 1991 and, in revised form, April 18, 1991; presented at the Conference Dynamics Days, Berlin, Germany, June 1991.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47H06; Secondary 46C15.

Fréchet-differentiable, and

$$\limsup_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_0} \|f'(\mathbf{x}) - f'(\mathbf{x}_0)\| < 1 \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbf{X}.$$

Seven years ago Morel and Steinlein [8] gave a beautiful counterexample to  $(P_2)$  in the case when  $f$  acts in the Banach space  $L^1(\mathbb{N})$ .

In this paper we suggest a negative answer to  $(P_1)$ ; namely, we present an example of a map  $F: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  which is not onto, continuous,  $F(\mathbf{H})$  has nonempty interior, and for every  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{H}$  there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  (depending on  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ ) such that for every  $n \geq n_0$

$$\|F^n(\mathbf{x}) - F^n(\mathbf{y})\| \geq c^{n-m} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|,$$

where  $F^n$  is the  $n$ th iterate of  $F$ ,  $c$  is a constant greater than 2, and  $m$  is an integer depending on  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ . This condition means that the distance between any two trajectories of the discrete dynamical system  $F: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  tends to infinity in an exponential way.

## 2. THE EXAMPLE

We start by constructing a map  $f: L^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{N})$  with the following properties:

- (a)  $f$  is continuous,
- (b)  $B(0, 1) \subset f(L^2(\mathbb{N}))$  where  $B(0, 1)$  is the unit ball in  $L^2(\mathbb{N})$ ,
- (c)  $f(L^2(\mathbb{N})) \neq L^2(\mathbb{N})$ ,
- (d)  $f$  is an injection.

Then we define a map  $F$  by  $F(\mathbf{x}) := cf(\mathbf{x})$ . Taking into account the properties of  $f$  we show that  $F$  satisfies the required assumptions.

To define  $f$  we first introduce a continuous function  $\psi: R^+ \rightarrow R^+$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t) &:= t \text{ for all } t \text{ so that } t \leq 1 \text{ and } 2 \leq t, \\ \alpha t &< \psi(t) < t \text{ for } 1 < t < 2, \\ \psi &\text{ is } C^1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha$  is a fixed number which satisfies  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

Now for every  $\mathbf{x} \in L^2(\mathbb{N})$  let  $n_{\mathbf{x}}$  denote the minimal natural number such that

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\mathbf{x}}} x_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \psi(\|\mathbf{x}\|) \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\mathbf{x}}+1} x_i^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

(We allow  $n_{\mathbf{x}} = 0$  and then the left side of the above inequality is 0.) We set

$$f(\mathbf{x}) := \begin{cases} \mathbf{x} & \text{for all } \mathbf{x} \text{ such that } \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq 1 \text{ or } 2 \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|, \\ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_{\mathbf{x}}}, \alpha_{\mathbf{x}}x_{n_{\mathbf{x}}+1}, \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{\mathbf{x}}^2}x_{n_{\mathbf{x}}+1}, x_{n_{\mathbf{x}}+2}, x_{n_{\mathbf{x}}+3}, \dots) & \text{for } 1 < \|\mathbf{x}\| < 2, \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha_{\mathbf{x}}$  satisfies

$$(1) \quad \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\mathbf{x}}} x_i^2 + \alpha_{\mathbf{x}}^2 x_{n_{\mathbf{x}}+1}^2 \right)^{1/2} = \psi(\|\mathbf{x}\|).$$

(Of course  $0 \leq \alpha_{\mathbf{x}} < 1$ ; if  $x_{n_{\mathbf{x}}+1} = 0$  then  $\alpha_{\mathbf{x}} := 0$ .)

The continuity of  $f$  and properties (b) and (c) are easy to prove. So we must only prove (d).

Before passing to the proof we make the obvious observation that

$$(2) \quad \|f(\mathbf{x})\| = \|\mathbf{x}\| \quad \text{for every } \mathbf{x} \in L^2(\mathbb{N}).$$

Taking into account this observation we show (d).

**Lemma.** *Let  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in L^2(\mathbb{N})$  and  $f(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{y})$ . Then  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ .*

*Proof.* By definition of  $f$  and (2) it is sufficient to consider the case when  $1 < \|\mathbf{x}\| < 2$  and  $1 < \|\mathbf{y}\| < 2$ . By (2) we see immediately that  $\psi(\|\mathbf{x}\|) = \psi(\|\mathbf{y}\|)$ , and from (1) and the fact that  $f(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{y})$  it follows that  $n_{\mathbf{x}} = n_{\mathbf{y}}$  and, consequently,  $x_i = y_i$  for both  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n_{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $i = n_{\mathbf{x}} + 2, n_{\mathbf{x}} + 3, \dots$ . Since  $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \|\mathbf{y}\|$  we conclude that  $|x_{n_{\mathbf{x}}+1}| = |y_{n_{\mathbf{x}}+1}|$  and since

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{x}} x_{n_{\mathbf{x}}+1} = \alpha_{\mathbf{y}} y_{n_{\mathbf{x}}+1}, \quad \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{\mathbf{x}}^2} x_{n_{\mathbf{x}}+1} = \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{\mathbf{y}}^2} y_{n_{\mathbf{x}}+1}$$

where  $\alpha_{\mathbf{x}} \geq 0$ , we see that  $x_{n_{\mathbf{x}}+1} = y_{n_{\mathbf{x}}+1}$ , which finishes the proof.

Now we define  $F(\mathbf{x}) := c f(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $c > 2$ . We show the following

**Theorem.** *The map  $F$  has the following properties:*

(a<sub>1</sub>)  $F$  is continuous,

(b<sub>1</sub>)  $F(L^2(\mathbb{N}))$  has nonempty interior,

(c<sub>1</sub>)  $F$  is not onto,

(d<sub>1</sub>) for arbitrary  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in H$  there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  (depending on  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ ) such that for every  $n \geq n_0$

$$(3) \quad \|F^n(\mathbf{x}) - F^n(\mathbf{y})\| \geq c^{n-m} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$$

where  $F^n$  is the  $n$ th iterate of  $F$ ,  $c$  is a constant greater than 2, and  $m$  is an integer depending on  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ .

*Proof.* Properties (a<sub>1</sub>), (b<sub>1</sub>), (c<sub>1</sub>) are easy to prove. We show (d<sub>1</sub>).

By definition of  $f$  and (2), for every  $\mathbf{x} \in L^2(\mathbb{N})$

$$(4) \quad \|F^n(\mathbf{x})\| = c^n \|\mathbf{x}\|,$$

and there is some integer  $p$  depending on  $\mathbf{x}$  (we choose the smallest one) such that

$$(5) \quad F^n(\mathbf{x}) = c^{n-p} F^p(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for } n \geq p.$$

Now consider the expression  $\|F^n(\mathbf{x}) - F^n(\mathbf{y})\|$ . By (5),

$$\begin{aligned} \|F^n(\mathbf{x}) - F^n(\mathbf{y})\| &= \|c^{n-p} F^p(\mathbf{x}) - c^{n-k} F^k(\mathbf{y})\| \\ &= c^{n-p} \|F^p(\mathbf{x}) - c^{p-k} F^k(\mathbf{y})\| \end{aligned}$$

( $k$  corresponds to  $\mathbf{y}$  according to (5)), and since

$$c^{p-k} F^k(\mathbf{y}) = F^p(\mathbf{y})$$

(without loss of generality we can assume that  $p \geq k$ ) we have

$$\|F^p(\mathbf{x}) - c^{p-k} F^k(\mathbf{y})\| = \|F^p(\mathbf{x}) - F^p(\mathbf{y})\| > 0 \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y},$$

because  $f$ , and hence  $F$ , is an injection. Finally, since  $c > 2$  there is  $n_0$  such that for every  $n \geq n_0$

$$\|F^n(\mathbf{x}) - F^n(\mathbf{y})\| \geq c^{n-p} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$$

and  $m := \max\{k, p\} = p$ . Thus, the proof of (d<sub>1</sub>) is finished.

**Proposition.** *There is no map  $F_1$  with properties (a<sub>1</sub>), (b<sub>1</sub>), (c<sub>1</sub>), (d<sub>1</sub>), and (e<sub>1</sub>)  $\|F_1(\mathbf{x})\| = c\|\mathbf{x}\|$  in the finite-dimensional case.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $F_1: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is such a map. Then, by (c<sub>1</sub>) and (e<sub>1</sub>) there is  $0 \neq \mathbf{x}_0 \notin F_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . From (e<sub>1</sub>) it follows that  $F_1$  maps spheres (centered at 0) into spheres, in particular it maps the sphere  $\mathcal{S}$  with radius  $\|\mathbf{x}_0\|/c$  into the sphere with radius  $\|\mathbf{x}_0\|$ . By (a<sub>1</sub>) and (d<sub>1</sub>)  $F_1|_{\mathcal{S}}$  is continuous injection and because each sphere in a finite-dimensional space is compact,  $F_1|_{\mathcal{S}}$  is a homeomorphism onto a compact proper subset of the other sphere. But this contradicts the well-known theorem stating that the necessary condition for a compact set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to be homeomorphic to a sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is that its complement has exactly two connected components [5].

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank the referee for his suggestion of including the above Proposition, which shows that the infinite-dimensionality of our example is essential.

#### REFERENCES

1. L. E. J. Brouwer, *Bewels der Invarianz des  $n$ -dimensionalen Gebiets*, Math. Ann. **71** (1912), 305–313.
2. —, *Zur Invarianz des  $n$ -dimensionalen Gebiets*, Math. Ann. **72** (1912), 55–56.
3. F. E. Browder, *The solvability of non-linear functional equations*, Duke Math. J. **30** (1963), 557–566.
4. K. C. Chang and L. Shujie, *A remark on expanding maps*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **85** (1982), 583–586.
5. K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, vol. II, Academic Press and PWN, New York and Warsaw, 1968.
6. J. Leray, *Topologie des espaces abstraits de M. Banach*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **200** (1935), 1083–1085.
7. G. J. Minty, *Montone (nonlinear) operators in Hilbert space*, Duke Math. J. **29** (1962), 341–346.
8. J. M. Morel and H. Steinlein, *On a problem of Nirenberg concerning expanding maps*, J. Funct. Anal. **59** (1984), 145–150.
9. L. Nirenberg, *Topics in nonlinear functional analysis*, Lecture Notes, Courant Inst. of Math. Sci., New York Univ., New York, 1974.
10. R. D. Nussbaum, *Degree theory for local condensing maps*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **37** (1972), 741–766.

POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL TECHNOLOGICAL RESEARCH,  
00-049 WARSAW, SWIETOKRZYSKA 21, POLAND