BRIEF NOTES

Non-polynomial representations of orthotropic tensor functions in the three-dimensional case: an alternative approach

S. JEMIOŁO and J.J. TELEGA (WARSZAWA)

THE OBJECTIVE of this paper is to extend some of the results obtained in [1] to the three-dimensional case. Functional bases and generators for symmetric second-order orthotropic tensor functions are derived.

1. Introduction

THE THEORY of representation of tensor functions has been developed for more than thirty years [2-5]. The results obtained within the framework of this theory yield general forms of isotropic [6-15] and anisotropic [16-22] tensor functions. Most complete results were obtained for scalar-valued, vector-valued, symmetric and skew-symmetric tensor-valued functions of the second order, dependent on vectors as well as symmetric and skew-symmetric tensors of the second order.

Theoretical foundations of the formulation of anisotropic constitutive relationships were laid, among others, in the books [23-26]. There the group theory and the theory of representation of tensor functions were exploited. Anisotropic materials constitute an important class of structural materials in many fields of engineering. Hence the need for further development of the constitutive theory, where the theory of representation of tensor functions plays an important role, cf. [3, 27-30].

The determination of a representation of a tensor function in the so-called canonical form reduces to finding irreducible sets of basic invariants and generators of this function. One distinguishes polynomial and non-polynomial representations of tensor functions [3, 23]. To find the polynomial representation of a tensor function it is sufficient to determine the relevant integrity basis. Once this basis is established, generators are obtained by a simple process of integration [23]. An integrity basis is said to be irreducible if none of its elements can be expressed as a polynomial in the remaining elements, cf. [23]. A set of invariants is said to constitute a functional basis, for given arguments and a symmetry group of the considered function, if any other invariant of the same arguments can be expressed as a scalar function of these invariants. A non-polynomial representation is irreducible if none of the generators can be expressed as a linear

combination of the remaining generators, with the coefficients being arbitrary functions of the functional basis. WANG [6-8], SMITH [9, 10] and BOEHLER [11] proved that in the general case a non-polynomial representation, if compared with the corresponding polynomial representation, contains less generators and invariants.

The aim of this note is the determination of the representation of a non-polynomial orthotropic scalar function as well as orthotropic, symmetric tensor-valued function of the second order. Our approach is alternative to that used by BOEHLER [18, 19]. Those functions depend on a finite number of symmetric, second order tensors. Thus we extend to the three-dimensional case the results presented in our earlier paper [1].

2. Formulation of the problem

Our aim is to determine the non-polynomial representations of the following functions

(1)

$$s = f(\mathbf{A}_{p}; \mathbf{H}), \qquad f: \underbrace{T_{s} \times \ldots \times T_{s}}_{(P+1)-\text{times}} \to R,$$

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{A}_{p}; \mathbf{H}), \qquad \mathbf{F}: \underbrace{T_{s} \times \ldots \times T_{s}}_{(P+1)-\text{times}} \to T_{s},$$

where \mathbf{A}_p are symmetric second order tensors, $\mathbf{A}_p \in T_s$, $T_s = {\mathbf{A} \in T : \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^T}$, p = 1, ..., p and $T = E \otimes E$; \mathbf{A}^T stands for the transpose of a tensor \mathbf{A} . Here Eis the three-dimensional Euclidean space and \mathbf{H} is a symmetric, positive-definite tensor of the second order. The tensor \mathbf{H} plays the role of a parametric tensor, i.e. $\mathbf{H} = \text{const.}$ The function f is a scalar-valued function while \mathbf{F} is a symmetric, second order tensor function. Suppose that (1) are to be constitutive relationships. Then \mathbf{A}_p are causes, \mathbf{H} models the structure of a material while s and \mathbf{S} are responses or effects. Within the framework of the classical continuum mechanics, such relationships should be invariant with respect to the group of automorphisms of the space E, cf. [25]. In other words, they have to satisfy the so-called principle of isotropy of the physical space. Consequently, the functions appearing in (1) fulfil the following conditions:

(2)
$$\forall \mathbf{Q} \in O: \qquad f(\mathbf{A}_p; \mathbf{H}) = f\left(\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{A}_p \mathbf{Q}^T; \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{Q}^T\right), \\ \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{A}_p; \mathbf{H}) \mathbf{Q}^T = \mathbf{F}\left(\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{A}_p \mathbf{Q}^T; \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{Q}^T\right),$$

where O denotes the full orthogonal group, that is

(3)
$$O \equiv \left\{ \mathbf{Q} \in T : \ \mathbf{Q} \, \mathbf{Q}^T = \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{I} \right\}.$$

Here I stands for the identity tensor.

According to our assumption, the tensor **H** has three distinct eigenvalues, say H_i (i = 1, 2, 3). Thus we may write

(4)
$$\mathbf{H} = H_1 \mathbf{e}_1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_1 + H_2 \mathbf{e}_2 \otimes \mathbf{e}_2 + H_3 \mathbf{e}_3 \otimes \mathbf{e}_3, \qquad H_1 \neq H_2 \neq H_3 \neq H_1.$$

where e_i are unit eigenvectors of the tensor **H**. We observe that the group of external symmetries of the tensor **H**, given by

(5)
$$S \equiv \left\{ \mathbf{Q} \in O; \quad \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{Q}^T = \mathbf{H} \right\},$$

is the orthotropy group. Moreover, the eigenvectors of **H** determine the so-called principal axes of orthotropy of a material. This statement becomes obvious if we compare (4) and (5) with the corresponding definitions given in the papers [3, 18-20, 25].

Let

(6)
$$\mathbf{M}_i = \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_i$$
 (no summation on $i = 1, 2, 3$),

then we recover, by taking account of (4) and (6) in (1), provided that (2) is satisfied, the problem considered in the papers [18, 19].

From (2) and (5) it follows that

(7)
$$\forall \mathbf{Q} \in S: \qquad f(\mathbf{A}_p; \mathbf{H}) = f\left(\mathbf{Q} \, \mathbf{A}_p \mathbf{Q}^T; \mathbf{H}\right),$$
$$\mathbf{Q} \, \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{A}_p; \mathbf{H}) \mathbf{Q}^T = \mathbf{F}\left(\mathbf{Q} \, \mathbf{A}_p \mathbf{Q}^T; \mathbf{H}\right).$$

In other words, the functions $f(...; \mathbf{H})$, $\mathbf{F}(...; \mathbf{H})$ are orthotropic functions of the tensors \mathbf{A}_{p} .

3. Determination of the orthotropic functional basis

Since the tensor **H** has three distinct eigenvalues, therefore in order to determine the functional basis for the scalar function $(1)_1$ we may exploit the results obtained by SMITH [10]. To this end it is sufficient to consider the case (2ii) studied by SMITH [10, pp. 905–907]. The functional basis derived in this manner is presented in Table 1.

It can easily be proved that the representation of the scalar function $(1)_1$ depicted in Table 1 is equivalent to the results obtained by BOEHLER in [18, 19]. Boehler's orthotropic functional basis is presented in Table 2.

Both functional bases are equivalent because:

$$tr \mathbf{A}_{p} = tr \mathbf{M}_{1} \mathbf{A}_{p} + tr \mathbf{M}_{2} \mathbf{A}_{p} + tr \mathbf{M}_{3} \mathbf{A}_{p},$$

$$tr \mathbf{A}_{p}^{2} = tr \mathbf{M}_{1} \mathbf{A}_{p}^{2} + tr \mathbf{M}_{2} \mathbf{A}_{p}^{2} + tr \mathbf{M}_{3} \mathbf{A}_{p}^{2},$$

(8)
$$tr \mathbf{H}^{a} \mathbf{A}_{p}^{b} = H_{1}^{a} tr \mathbf{M}_{1} \mathbf{A}_{p}^{b} + H_{2}^{a} tr \mathbf{M}_{2} \mathbf{A}_{p}^{b} + H_{3}^{a} tr \mathbf{M}_{3} \mathbf{A}_{p}^{b},$$

$$tr \mathbf{A}_{p} \mathbf{A}_{q} = tr \mathbf{M}_{1} \mathbf{A}_{p} \mathbf{A}_{q} + tr \mathbf{M}_{2} \mathbf{A}_{p} \mathbf{A}_{q} + tr \mathbf{M}_{3} \mathbf{A}_{p} \mathbf{A}_{q},$$

$$tr \mathbf{H}^{a} \mathbf{A}_{p} \mathbf{A}_{q} = H_{1}^{a} tr \mathbf{M}_{1} \mathbf{A}_{p} \mathbf{A}_{q} + H_{2}^{a} tr \mathbf{M}_{2} \mathbf{A}_{p} \mathbf{A}_{q} + H_{3}^{a} tr \mathbf{M}_{3} \mathbf{A}_{p} \mathbf{A}_{q}, \quad a, b = 1, 2,$$

where tr stands for the trace of a tensor; for instance trAB = tr(AB), where AB = tr_(2,3)A \otimes B.

Arguments	Basic invariants
\mathbf{A}_p	$\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}_p, \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}_p^2, \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}_p^3, \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{A}_p, \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{H}^2 \mathbf{A}_p, \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{A}_p^2, \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{H}^2 \mathbf{A}_p^2$
$\mathbf{A}_p, \ \mathbf{A}_q$	$\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}_p \mathbf{A}_q, \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}_p^2 \mathbf{A}_q, \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}_p \mathbf{A}_q^2, \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{A}_p \mathbf{A}_q, \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{H}^2 \mathbf{A}_p \mathbf{A}_q$
$\mathbf{A}_p, \ \mathbf{A}_q, \ \mathbf{A}_r$	$\mathrm{tr} \mathbf{A}_p \mathbf{A}_q \mathbf{A}_r, p, q, r = 1, \dots P; p < q < r$

Table 1. Functional basis for the scalar function $(1)_1$.

Table 2. Orthotropic functional basis after BOEHLER [19].

Arguments	Basic invariants
\mathbf{A}_p	$\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{A}_p, \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{A}_p^2, \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}_p^3, \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{M}_2 \mathbf{A}_p, \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{M}_2 \mathbf{A}_p^2, \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{M}_3 \mathbf{A}_p, \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{M}_3 \mathbf{A}_p^2$
$\mathbf{A}_p, \ \mathbf{A}_q$	$\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{A}_p \mathbf{A}_q, \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}_p^2 \mathbf{A}_q, \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}_p \mathbf{A}_q^2, \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{M}_2 \mathbf{A}_p \mathbf{A}_q, \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{M}_3 \mathbf{A}_p \mathbf{A}_q$
$\mathbf{A}_p, \ \mathbf{A}_q, \ \mathbf{A}_r$	$tr \mathbf{A}_p \mathbf{A}_q \mathbf{A}_r, p, q, r = 1, \dots P; p < q < r$

4. Determination of generators of an orthotropic tensor-valued function of the second order

In order to derive the representation of the function $(1)_2$ under the condition $(2)_2$, we shall apply the method similar to that used in the papers [1, 13, 14, 31, 32]. This method is based on the idea primarily proposed in the paper by the second author [30]. First, we construct a scalar function, say g, defined by

linear with respect to the second argument or C. Here C is a symmetric second order tensor while F is the function $(1)_2$. The function g has the following form:

(10)
$$g(\mathbf{A}_p, \mathbf{C}; \mathbf{H}) = \tilde{g}(I_t, J_s) = \sum_{s=1}^{S} \phi(I_t) J_s ,$$

where I_t are invariants listed in Table 1 whereas J_s are invariants linear in **C**, see Table 3 below.

The canonical form of the tensor-valued function $(1)_2$ is found from

(11)
$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{A}_p, \mathbf{H}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{C}} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{C}^T} \right) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{C}} = \sum_{s=1}^S \phi_s(I_t) \frac{\partial J_s}{\partial \mathbf{C}} = \sum_{s=1}^S \tilde{\phi}_s(I_t) \mathbf{G}_s.$$

The results of calculations are summarised in Table 4, where the generators G_s are listed.

Table 3. Invariants linear in C.

Arguments	Invariants J_s
С	trC, trHC, trH ² C
\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{A}_p	$\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}_p \mathbf{C}, \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}_p^2 \mathbf{C}, \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{A}_p \mathbf{C}, \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{H}^2 \mathbf{A}_p \mathbf{C}$
$\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{A}_p, \mathbf{A}_q$	$\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}_p \mathbf{A}_q \mathbf{C}, p, q, r = 1, \dots P; p < q$

Table 4. Generators of the function (1)₂.

Arguments	Generators
	I, H, H ²
\mathbf{A}_p	$\mathbf{A}_p, \mathbf{A}_p^2, \mathbf{H}\mathbf{A}_p + \mathbf{A}_p\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}^2\mathbf{A}_p + \mathbf{A}_p\mathbf{H}^2$
$\mathbf{A}_p, \ \mathbf{A}_q$	$\mathbf{A}_p \mathbf{A}_q + \mathbf{A}_q \mathbf{A}_p, p, q = 1, \dots P; p < q$

The generators obtained in this way are equivalent to those derived by BOEHLER [19] and listed in Table 5. To corroborate this statement, it is sufficient to exploit the following identities:

$$\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{M}_{1} + \mathbf{M}_{2} + \mathbf{M}_{3},$$

$$\mathbf{H}^{a} = H_{1}^{a}\mathbf{M}_{1} + H_{2}^{a}\mathbf{M}_{2} + H_{3}^{a}\mathbf{M}_{3}, \qquad a = 1, 2,$$

$$\mathbf{2A}_{p} = \mathbf{M}_{1}\mathbf{A}_{p} + \mathbf{M}_{1}\mathbf{A}_{p} + \mathbf{M}_{2}\mathbf{A}_{p} + \mathbf{M}_{3}\mathbf{A}_{p} + \mathbf{M}_{3}\mathbf{A}_{p},$$

$$\mathbf{H}^{a}\mathbf{A}_{p} + \mathbf{H}^{a}\mathbf{A}_{p} = H_{1}^{a}(\mathbf{M}_{1}\mathbf{A}_{p} + \mathbf{M}_{1}\mathbf{A}_{p}) + H_{2}^{a}(\mathbf{M}_{2}\mathbf{A}_{p} + \mathbf{M}_{3}\mathbf{A}_{p}) + H_{3}^{a}(\mathbf{M}_{3}\mathbf{A}_{p} + \mathbf{M}_{3}\mathbf{A}_{p}).$$

Table 5. Boehler's [5] generators of the orthotropic tensor function.

Arguments	Generators .
	M ₁ , M ₂ , M ₃
\mathbf{A}_p	$\mathbf{M}_{1}\mathbf{A}_{p} + \mathbf{M}_{1}\mathbf{A}_{p}, \mathbf{M}_{2}\mathbf{A}_{p} + \mathbf{M}_{2}\mathbf{A}_{p}, \mathbf{M}_{3}\mathbf{A}_{p} + \mathbf{M}_{3}\mathbf{A}_{p}, \mathbf{A}_{p}^{2}$
$\mathbf{A}_p, \ \mathbf{A}_q$	$\mathbf{A}_{p}\mathbf{A}_{q} + \mathbf{A}_{q}\mathbf{A}_{p}, p, q = 1, \dots P; p < q$

Acknowledgment

The authors were supported by the State Committee for Scientific Research (Poland) through the grant No. PB 0729/P4/94/06.

References

(12)

 S. JEMIOŁO and J.J. TELEGA, An alternative approach to the representation of orthotropic tensor functions in the two-dimensional case, Arch. Mech., 48, 219–230, 1996.

- J.J. TELEGA, Theory of invariants: from Boole to the present. Tensor functions and concomitants [in Polish], [in:] Methods of Functional Analysis in Plasticity, J.J. TELEGA [Ed.], Ossolineum, Wrocław 1981, 331–361.
- J.P. BOEHLER [Ed.], Applications of tensor functions in solid mechanics, CISM Courses and Lectures No. 292, Springer-Verlag, Wien-New York 1987.
- J. RYCHLEWSKI and J.M. ZHANG, On representation of tensor functions: A review, Advances in Mech., 14, 75–94, 1991.
- S. JEMIOŁO and J.J. TELEGA, Invariants and tensor functions: Fundamentals and some applications to solid and fluid mechanics, 1st Conference on Application of Algebraic Invariants, Warszawa 26-27 XI 1994, Proc. Wyd. SGGW, 45–98, 1996.
- C.C. WANG, On representations for isotropic functions. Part I and II, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 33, 249–287, 1969.
- C.C. WANG, A new representation theorem for isotropic functions. Part I and II, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 36, 166–223, 1970.
- 8. C.C. WANG, Corrigendum, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 43, 392-395, 1971.
- G.F. SMITH, On a fundamental error in two papers of C.C. Wang, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 36, 161–165, 1971.
- G.F. SMITH, On isotropic functions of symmetric tensors, skew-symmetric tensors and vectors, Int. J. Engng. Sci., 9, 899–916, 1971.
- 11. J.P. BOEHLER, On irreducible representations for isotropic scalar functions, ZAMM, 57, 323–327, 1977.
- S. PENNISI and M. TROVATO, On the irreducibility of Professor G.F. Smith's representations for isotropic functions, Int. J. Engng. Sci., 25, 1059–1065, 1987.
- J. KORSGAARD, On the representation of two-dimensional isotropic functions, Int. J. Engng. Sci., 28, 653–662, 1990.
- J. KORSGAARD, On the representation of symmetric tensor-valued isotropic functions, Int. J. Engng. Sci., 28, 1331–1346, 1990.
- Q.-S. ZHENG, On the representations for isotropic vector-valued, symmetric tensor-valued and skew-symmetric tensor-valued functions, Int. J. Engng. Sci., 31, 1013–1024, 1993.
- J.E. ADKINS, Symmetry relations for orthotropic and transversely isotropic materials, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 4, 193–213, 1960.
- J.E. ADKINS, Further symmetry relations for transversely isotropic materials, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 5, 263–274, 1960.
- 18. J.P. BOEHLER, Lois de comportment anisotrope des milieux continus, J. Méc., 17, 153–190, 1978.
- J.P. BOEHLER, A simple derivation of representations for non-polynomial constitutive equations in some cases of anisotropy, ZAMM, 59, 157–167, 1979.
- 20. I-SHIH LIU, On representations of anisotropic invariants, Int. J. Engng. Sci., 20, 1099-1109, 1982.
- Q.-S. ZHENG, On transversely isotropic, orthotropic and relative isotropic functions of symmetric tensors and vectors. Part I-V, Int. J. Engng. Sci., 31, 1399–1453, 1993.
- Q.-S. ZHENG, Two-dimensional tensor function representation for all kinds of material symmetry, Proc. R. Soc. Lond., A 443, 127–138, 1993.
- A.J.M. SPENCER, *Theory of invariants*, [in:] Continuum Physics, Vol. I, A.C. ERINGEN [Ed.], Academic Press, 1971.
- E. KIRAL and A.C. ERINGEN, Constitutive equations of nonlinear electromagnetic-elastic crystals, Springer-Verlag, New York 1990.
- 25. J. RYCHLEWSKI, Symmetry of causes and effects [in Polish], PWN, Warszawa 1991.
- G.F. SMITH, Constitutive equations for anisotropic and isotropic materials, North-Holland, Amsterdam, London, New York, Toronto 1994.
- S.C. COWIN, The relationship between the elasticity tensor and the fabric tensor, Mech. Materials, 4, 137–147, 1985.

- 28. S.C. COWIN, Fabric dependence of an anisotropic strength criterion, Mech. Materials, 5, 251-260, 1986.
- S.C. COWIN, Wolff's law of trabecular architecture at remodeling equilibrium, J. Biomechanical Engng., 108, 83–88, 1986.
- J.J. TELEGA, Some aspects of invariant theory in plasticity, Part I. New results relative to representation of isotropic and anisotropic tensor functions, Arch. Mech., 36, 147–162, 1984.
- S. JEMIOŁO, Some comments on the representation of vector-valued isotropic function, J. Theoret. App. Mech., 31, 121–125, 1993.
- S. JEMIOŁO and M. KWIECIŃSKI, On irreducible number of invariants and generators in the constitutive relationships, Enging. Trans., 39, 241–253, 1990.

WARSAW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY INSTITUTE OF STRUCTURAL MECHANICS and POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL TECHNOLOGICAL RESEARCH e-mail: jtelega@ippt.gov.pl

Received July 5, 1996.